



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/780,922	02/09/2001	Omar M. Buazza	5040-04207 9323		
7:	590 05/01/2003				
ERIC B. MEYERTONS			EXAMINER		
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P.O. BOX 398			JARRETT, RYAN A		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2125	()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					PR				
1		Application No	5 .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/780,922		BUAZZA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ryan A. Jarret		2125					
7 Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	:s				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply earned pa	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is communication. It is communication in the mailing date of this communication. It is communication. It is communication in the set of the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut received by the Office later than three months after the mailing them term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status	anner in the communication (a) filed on 47	4							
·	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) T	April 2003 . his action is non-	final						
7—	ince this application is in condition for allow			osecution as to the m	orite ie				
	losed in accordance with the practice under				ciilo io				
·	aim(s) <u>278-286,288-292 and 443</u> is/are pe	nding in the appl	ication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)∐ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>278-286,288-292 and 443</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌 Cla	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∏ Cla	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requir	rement.						
Application	Papers								
	e specification is objected to by the Examin								
•	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
<i>,</i> —	•	xammer.							
_	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		05 11 0 0 0 440/s) (4) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
•	All b) Some * c) None of:	ota hava baan ray	noived						
-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	application from the International B the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).		je				
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional app	olication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)	-	•							
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [<u>8,10</u> . 6) [r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15					
J.S. Patent and Trader	nark Office								

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant has amended independent claim 278 to include the limitation of former 1. dependent claim 287, however, this does not overcome the patentability rejection. EP 0318164 is replete with information concerning curing unit parameter control. One example of using prescription information to control curing parameters can be found on pg. 6 lines 38-58. Here, the lens tinting (a prescription parameter) is controlled by varying the curing solutions, times, and temperatures. There are several other examples of this feature in EP 0318164. Additionally, it is well known in the art that different lens prescriptions require different curing conditions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 278-284, 288-292, and 443 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0318164 A2 (provided by Applicant). EP 0318164 discloses a computer software program for determining a front mold, a back mold and a gasket which together produce a mold cavity, the mold cavity being configured to hold a lens forming composition, wherein the lens forming composition is curable by activating light to produce an eyeglass lens having a predetermined prescription and wherein the software program comprises a plurality of instructions configured to perform operations

Art Unit: 2125

comprising: analyzing prescription information to determine the front mold, the back mold, and the gasket for producing the eyeglass lens (pg. 4 lines 1-25 and lines 35-41); and determining curing conditions for a lens based on the eyeglass prescription (pg. 6 lines 38-58, pg. 12 lines 29-35);

wherein the prescription information comprises a sphere power, a cylinder power, and a lens location; wherein the prescription information comprises a sphere power, a cylinder power and a lens location, and wherein the prescription information is analyzed by correlating the sphere power, cylinder power and the lens location to a record in an information database; wherein the prescription information further comprises monomer type (inherent) and lens type; wherein the prescription information comprises a sphere power, a cylinder power, an add power and a lens location; wherein the prescription information comprises a sphere power, a cylinder power, an add power, and a lens location and wherein the prescription information is analyzed by correlating the sphere power, the cylinder power, the add power, and the lens location to a record in an information database (pg. 12 lines 52-58, Fig. 17A);

wherein the operations further comprise: controlling a lens curing unit, the lens curing unit being configured to cure the lens forming composition, wherein controlling the lens curing unit comprises operating the lens curing unit such that the curing conditions are produced (pg. 6 lines 38-58, pg. 12 lines 29-35);

wherein the operations further comprise controlling a coating unit, the coating unit being configured to cure the lens forming composition (pg. 9 lines 50-58, pg. 14 lines 32-44);

Art Unit: 2125

wherein the operations further comprise allowing the eyeglass prescription to be altered after the eyeglass prescription is collected (pg. 12 lines 52-58, pg. 13 lines 1-9).

wherein the operations further comprise storing the eyeglass prescription on a computer readable media (pg. 12 lines 52-58, pg. 13 lines 1-9);

collecting prescription information which defines the eyeglass prescription (pg. 4 lines 17-20);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 285-286 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0318164 A2. EP 0318164 A2 does not disclose that the front mold identification marking comprises an alphanumeric sequence, and that the back mold identification marking comprises an alphanumeric sequence, and that the gasket identification marking comprises an alphanumeric sequence; wherein the operations further comprise producing a visual display of the front mold identification marking, the back mold identification marking, and the gasket identification marking subsequent to analyzing the prescription data.

However, EP 0318164 A2 does disclose that the front mold identification marking comprises a barcode, and that the back mold identification marking comprises a bar code, and that the gasket identification marking comprises a bar code (pg. 4 lines 35-

Art Unit: 2125

41). EP 0318164 A2 also discloses a visual display means for indicating which gasket and mold are required for a given prescription (pg. 4 lines 9-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mark the molds and gaskets of EP 0318164 A2 with an alphanumeric sequence and to visually display this number subsequent to analyzing the prescription data because EP 0318164 A2 discloses marking the molds and gaskets with a barcode, which is functionally equivalent to marking the molds and gaskets with an alphanumeric sequence, and EP 0318164 A2 also discloses visually displaying the selected molds and gaskets, which is functionally equivalent to displaying the alphanumeric sequence of the molds and gaskets.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

raj

April 27, 2003

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100